

Question

With regard to the government's response to the Concluding Observations on Canada adopted by the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities on March 18, 2025, and the recommendation for the Government of Canada to repeal the 2027 expansion of medical assistance in dying to persons whose sole underlying medical condition is a mental illness, and with respect to any equality rights analysis of Track 2 medical assistance in dying provisions under section 15 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms: (a) has the government prepared a formal written response to the Committee's medical assistance in dying related recommendations, and, if so, what is the date of that response or its expected release date, and, if not, why not; (b) what interdepartmental or intergovernmental meetings have occurred since March 18, 2025, to consider the medical assistance in dying related recommendations in the Concluding Observations, and, for each meeting, what was the date, which departments or agencies participated, and what decisions, action items, or next steps were recorded; (c) has the Department of Justice conducted or commissioned any legal analysis of the consistency of Track 2 medical assistance in dying with Articles 10 and 15 of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities since March 18, 2025, and, if so, what are the dates, titles, and findings of each; (d) has the Department of Justice conducted or commissioned any section 15 Charter analysis of the Track 2 medical assistance in dying provisions since the passage of Bill C-7 in 2021, and, if so, what are the dates, titles, and findings of each, and, if not, why not; (e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents; and (f) since March 18, 2025, what consultations, meetings, or correspondence has the government had with disability organizations specifically regarding the medical assistance in dying related recommendations in the Concluding Observations, and, for each, what was the date, which organizations participated, and what follow-up action, if any, was taken?

Response

This response was tabled in the House of Commons on June 8, 2026, as Sessional Paper 8555-451-1094.



Tabled on
June 8, 2026

Sessional Paper
8555-451-1094

House of Commons

Order/Address of the House of Commons

Question number
Q-1094

Asked by
Tamara Jansen (Cloverdale—Langley
City)

Date asked
April 21, 2026

Presented by

Kevin Lamoureux

Parliamentary Secretary to the
Leader of the Government in
the House of Commons

Instructions and Template

Instructions dated May 8, 2026

Question: Q-1094²

Member: Tamara Jansen (Cloverdale—Langley City)

Instructions

These instructions are intended to be used by organizations assigned to produce a response in order to 1) provide a uniform government-wide interpretation of a question; 2) reference relevant government policies or documents; 3) present information in a consistent manner. Should an organization determine that the Privy Council Office instructions require clarification or additional guidance in order to ensure completeness of the response; the organization is encouraged to notify Privy Council Office.

Period

Parts a), d), e) – As of April 21, 2026.

Part b), c), f) – From March 18, 2025 to April 21, 2026.

All Departments (including Special Operating Agencies) and Agencies – Part e)

Organizations are required to provide a response to part e) regarding the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Health Canada- Parts a), b), f)

Health Canada is required to response to parts a), b) and f) regarding the government's response to the Concluding Observations on Canada adopted by the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, and the recommendation for the Government of Canada to repeal the 2027 expansion of medical assistance in dying.

Employment and Social Development Canada - Parts b), f)

Employment and Social Development Canada is required to response to parts b) and f) regarding the government's response to the Concluding Observations on Canada adopted by the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, and the recommendation for the Government of Canada to repeal the 2027 expansion of medical assistance in dying.

Justice Canada - Parts b), c), d), f)

Justice Canada is required to response to parts b), c), d), and f) regarding the government's response to the Concluding Observations on Canada adopted by the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, and the recommendation for the Government of Canada to repeal the 2027 expansion of medical assistance in dying.

Template

Organizations that have information are required to complete the following template.

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

date	title

Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency

Reply by: the Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada and Minister responsible for the Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency

Name of Signatory: The Honourable Sean Fraser

Reply

Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with

disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Canada Revenue Agency

Reply by: the Secretary of State (Canada Revenue Agency and Financial Institutions)

Name of Signatory: the Honourable Wayne Long

Reply

Canada Revenue Agency

With respect to the above noted question, what follows is the response from the Canada Revenue Agency as of April 21, 2026 (that is, the date of question). The Canada Revenue Agency was asked to respond to part (e) of this question.

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Canada Revenue Agency has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada.

Canadian Northern Economic Development Agency

Reply by: the Minister of Northern and Arctic Affairs and Minister responsible for the Canadian Northern Economic Development Agency

Name of Signatory: Brendan Hanley

Reply

Canadian Northern Economic Development Agency

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Canadian Northern Economic Development Agency has nothing to report.

Women and Gender Equality Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Women and Gender Equality and Secretary of State (Small Business and Tourism)

Name of Signatory: Marie-Gabrielle Ménard

Reply

Women and Gender Equality Canada

The Department for Women and Gender Equality Canada has had no involvement in this matter and did not produce any internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or assessments regarding the impacts of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada on the equality rights of persons with disabilities across Canada.

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Agriculture and Agri-Food

Name of Signatory: The Honourable Heath MacDonald, PC, MP

Reply

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada

Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally as of April 21, 2026.

Canadian Grain Commission

The Canadian Grain Commission has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally as of April 21, 2026.

Farm Products Council of Canada

The Farm Products Council of Canada has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally as of April 21, 2026.

Canadian Heritage

Reply by: the Minister of Canadian Identity and Culture and Minister responsible for Official Languages

Name of Signatory: The Honourable Marc Miller

Reply

Canadian Heritage

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Department of Canadian Heritage has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

(f) since March 18, 2025, what consultations, meetings, or correspondence has the government had with disability organizations specifically regarding the medical assistance in dying related recommendations in the Concluding Observations, and, for each, what was the date, which organizations participated, and what follow-up action, if any, was taken?

During an information-sharing session hosted by the Continuing Committee of Officials on Human Rights on October 24, 2025, participants included a wide range of civil society organizations, Indigenous organizations, and academic institutions (over 78 organizations), including Action Canada for Sexual Health and Rights, Amnesty International Canada, Amnesty International Canada Francophone, Anishinabek Nation, Assemblée communautaire fransaskoise, Assembly of First Nations, Canadian Association of Elizabeth Fry Societies, York Region Francophone Association, Association of French-Speaking Jurists of Alberta, British Columbia Civil Liberties Association, Feminist Association for Education and Social Action, National Association of Women and the Law, Quebec Association for Postsecondary Equity and Inclusion, B'nai Brith and the League for Human Rights of B'nai Brith Canada, BC Coalition of Experiential Communities, Black Legal Action Centre, Bridge2Future, Canadian Indigenous Nurses Association, Canadian Poverty Institute, Human Rights Research and Education Centre, Centre for Health Science and Law, Citizens for Public Justice, Canadian Coalition for the Rights of

Children, Coalition for the Human Rights of Indigenous Peoples, Colour of Poverty – Colour of Change, Canadian Human Rights Commission, Ontario Human Rights Commission, Congress of Aboriginal Peoples, Canadian Labour Congress, Dalhousie University Schulich School of Law, DAWN Canada, Office of the Federal Housing Advocate, Democracy Watch, Downtown Mission of Windsor, Egale Canada, End FGM Canada Network, Equitas, Eviance (Canadian Centre on Disability Studies), Family Service Toronto, Quebec Native Women Inc., First Nations Child and Family Caring Society, First Nations Health Authority of British Columbia, Giganaawenimaanaanig Implementation Committee, GlobalChild Program, Inclusion BC, Inclusion Canada, Independent Living Resource Centre of Calgary, Indigenous Physicians Association of Canada, Infinity Women Secretariat, Innovation7, Institute for Critical Indigenous Studies, International Human Rights Program at the University of Toronto Faculty of Law, International Justice and Human Rights Clinic at the University of British Columbia, John Humphrey Centre for Peace and Human Rights, Justice for Children and Youth, KD Management and Consulting, L'Arche Canada, Métis National Council, National Association of Friendship Centres, National Family and Survivors Circle Inc., Ontario Council of Agencies Serving Immigrants, Ontario Native Women's Association, Participation and Knowledge Translation in Childhood Disabilities Lab, Persons Against Non-State Torture, Peter A. Allard School of Law, Prisoners' Legal Services, French Health Network of Southern Ontario, HIV Legal Network, National Right to Housing Network, Network for the Advancement of Black Communities, Righting Relations, Saskatchewan Advocate for Children and Youth, South Asian Legal Clinic of Ontario, Union of British Columbia Indian Chiefs, University of Manitoba Faculty of Law, and the Women's International League for Peace and Freedom.

During this session, a question was raised by the Feminist Alliance for International Action regarding Canada's implementation of the Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities recommendation to repeal Medical Assistance in dying Track 2 and not extend it to persons with mental illnesses. A response was provided live by Justice Canada.

Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission

This organization has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Library and Archives Canada

This organization has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

National Battlefields Commission

This organization has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

National Film Board of Canada

This organization has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Canadian Conservation Institute

Information as it may relate to the work of this special operating agency is reported under the response from the Department of Canadian Heritage.

Canadian Heritage Information Network

Information as it may relate to the work of this special operating agency is reported under the response from the Department of Canadian Heritage.

Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship

Name of Signatory: Peter Fragiskatos, M.P.

Reply

Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Crown-Indigenous Relations

Name of Signatory: Jaime Battiste

Reply

Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Crown-Indigenous Relations and Northern Affairs Canada has not produced any internal reports, memorandums, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Employment and Social Development Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Jobs and Families and Minister responsible for the Federal Economic Development Agency for Northern Ontario

Name of Signatory: Annie Koutrakis

Reply

Employment and Social Development Canada

(b) what interdepartmental or intergovernmental meetings have occurred since March 18, 2025, to consider the medical assistance in dying related recommendations in the Concluding Observations, and, for each meeting, what was the date, which departments or agencies participated, and what decisions, action items, or next steps were recorded?

Since March 18, 2025, federal departments and agencies, including Employment and Social Development Canada, have participated in a number of interdepartmental and bilateral meetings to advance a whole-of-government approach to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Committee's Concluding Observations. Recognizing that the

implementation of human rights in Canada is a shared responsibility among federal, provincial, and territorial governments, the Committee's recommendations – alongside input from the disability community, Indigenous Peoples, civil society organizations, and human rights commissions – have been shared through Canada's National Mechanism for Implementation, Reporting and Follow-Up on human rights, including the Federal-Provincial-Territorial Table on Human Rights and the Director Generals' Forum on International Human Rights.

These meetings have taken place since March 2025 and have included participation from relevant federal organizations across the social, health, justice, and central agency portfolios. The purpose of these discussions has been to:

- identify departmental leads and areas of responsibility for each recommendation;
- clarify roles and coordination mechanisms across the federal government;
- share information on existing mandates, programs, and legislative authorities relevant to the recommendations; and
- discuss next steps for engagement and response development.

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Employment and Social Development Canada have no materials or information to provide in response to this question

(f) since March 18, 2025, what consultations, meetings, or correspondence has the government had with disability organizations specifically regarding the medical assistance in dying related recommendations in the Concluding Observations, and, for each, what was the date, which organizations participated, and what follow-up action, if any, was taken?

Since March 18, 2025, the Government of Canada has undertaken engagement activities with the disability community related to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Committee's Concluding Observations, including those concerning medical assistance in dying. These activities have included:

- participation in an engagement session (February 2026) with disability organizations and individuals with lived experience to seek input on priorities and considerations related to the Committee's recommendations; 92 stakeholders were invited to the virtual session; and 46 stakeholders, including representatives from 39 disability organizations, attended the virtual session. In addition, a representative spoke on behalf of a coalition that represents 28 organizations – some of these organizations did not attend the session in lieu of having the coalition representative deliver a joint statement on their behalf. Organizations were also invited to provide written submissions, with some opting to do so; and
- ongoing informal bilateral exchanges and correspondence from interested stakeholders that are informing the review of the recommendations.

Through these engagements, participants identified a number of priorities for the Government's consideration. The recommendation related to repealing medical assistance in dying Track Two was among the priorities raised by community participants during these discussions. The Government of Canada recognizes that medical assistance in dying is a complex and deeply personal matter on which there are diverging views. Though there is no formal consultation process on the

Committee's recommendations, we continue to listen to the advice of experts, health care providers, and people with lived experience on the federal legal framework for medical assistance in dying and are committed to ensuring that the federal legal framework for medical assistance in dying reflects the evolving needs of people in Canada, supports autonomy and freedom of choice, and protects those who may be vulnerable.

Feedback received through these engagements is being used to inform the Government's broader coordination efforts and ongoing work to identify appropriate leads, supports, and next steps in responding to the Committee's recommendations. No formal commitments or decisions specific to medical assistance in dying have been made as a result of these engagements to date.

In 2026, the Government of Canada provided funding for seven projects led by civil society organizations to address recommendations made by the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Committee and to build the capacity of persons with disabilities and their representative organizations to meaningfully engage in the ongoing implementation of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. These projects will help further Canada's implementation of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities in collaboration with the disability community.

Accessibility Standards Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Accessibility Standards Canada has not produced any internal reports, memorandums, briefing notes or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v Attorney General of Canada* for the equality of rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety has not produced any internal reports, memorandums, briefing notes or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v Attorney General of Canada* for the equality of rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Finance Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Finance and National Revenue

Name of Signatory: the Honourable François-Philippe Champagne

Reply

Department of Finance Canada

The response by the Department of Finance to part e) is as follows:

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

A search of the records of the Department of Finance did not produce any results.

Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions

The response by the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions to part e) is as follows:

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

A search of the records of the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions did not produce any results.

Fisheries and Oceans Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Fisheries

Name of Signatory: Ernie Klassen

Reply

Fisheries and Oceans Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Fisheries and Oceans Canada has not produced reports, memoranda, briefing notes nor evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Global Affairs Canada

Reply by: the Minister of International Trade

Name of Signatory: Parliamentary Secretary Yasir Naqvi

Reply

Global Affairs Canada

The following reflects a consolidated response approved on behalf of Global Affairs Canada ministers and Secretary of State.

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, Global Affairs Canada has not produced any internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Invest in Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or

evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, Invest in Canada has not produced any internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Health Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Health

Name of Signatory: Maggie Chi

Reply

Health Canada

(a) has the government prepared a formal written response to the Committee's medical assistance in dying related recommendations, and, if so, what is the date of that response or its expected release date, and, if not, why not?

The Government of Canada acknowledges the findings of the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and values its recommendations as part of Canada's commitment to upholding the rights set out in the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. There is no formal response required by Canada on the Concluding Observations from the United Nations Committee. However, as part of the reporting process, in the Concluding Observations, the United Nations Committee has asked for Canada to submit its combined fourth and fifth reports in April 2032, with the exact due date to be communicated by the Committee in due course.

The Government of Canada ensures legislators are informed and engaged in the human rights implementation process by systematically tabling in the House of Commons its reports to United Nations human rights treaty bodies and the Concluding Observations received following reviews. Canada's latest Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities combined reports and the Concluding Observations from the Committee were tabled in Parliament on November 19, 2025.

The Government of Canada has been reviewing the entire list of recommendations from the United Nations Committee, and the Concluding Observations were shared with federal departments and agencies, including Health Canada, in May 2025. Since the issuance of the Committee's Concluding Observations, a in foundational and preparatory work across the federal portfolio to identify appropriate departmental leads, supporting departments and agencies, and mechanisms for responding to each recommendation, including those related to Medical Assistance in Dying.

(b) what interdepartmental or intergovernmental meetings have occurred since March 18, 2025, to consider the medical assistance in dying related recommendations in the Concluding Observations, and,

for each meeting, what was the date, which departments or agencies participated, and what decisions, action items, or next steps were recorded?

Since March 18, 2025, the government has participated in a number of interdepartmental and bilateral meetings to advance a whole-of-government approach to the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Committee's Concluding Observations. Recognizing that the implementation of human rights in Canada is a shared responsibility among federal, provincial, and territorial governments, the Committee's recommendations – alongside input from the disability community, Indigenous Peoples, civil society organizations, and human rights commissions – have been shared through Canada's National Mechanism for Implementation, Reporting and Follow-Up on human rights, including the Federal-Provincial-Territorial Table on Human Rights and the Director Generals' Forum on International Human Rights.

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents; (f) since March 18, 2025, what consultations, meetings, or correspondence has the government had with disability organizations specifically regarding the medical assistance in dying related recommendations in the Concluding Observations, and, for each, what was the date, which organizations participated, and what follow-up action, if any, was taken?

A search of Health Canada's systems did not produce any results.

Housing, Infrastructure and Communities Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Housing and Infrastructure and Minister responsible for Pacific Economic Development Canada

Name of Signatory: Jennifer McKelvie, M.P.

Reply

Housing, Infrastructure and Communities Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with

disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Since March 18, 2025, Housing, Infrastructure and Communities Canada has not produced any internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Indigenous Services Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Indigenous Services

Name of Signatory: Ginette Lavack

Reply

Indigenous Services Canada and Indian Oil and Gas Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Indigenous Services Canada and Indian Oil and Gas Canada have not produced any internal reports, memorandums, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Industry and Minister responsible for Canada Economic Development for Quebec Regions

Name of Signatory: Mélanie Joly

Reply

Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada (including its special operating agencies)

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Since March 18, 2025, no internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally have been produced.

Canadian Space Agency

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Since March 18, 2025, no internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally have been produced.

Copyright Board of Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Since March 18, 2025, no internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally have been produced.

National Research Council Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or

evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Since March 18, 2025, no internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally have been produced.

Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Since March 18, 2025, no internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally have been produced.

Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Since March 18, 2025, no internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally have been produced.

Statistics Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with

disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Since March 18, 2025, no internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally have been produced.

Justice Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada and Minister responsible for the Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency

Name of Signatory: the Parliamentary Secretary Patricia Lattanzio

Reply

Department of Justice

(a) has the government prepared a formal written response to the Committee's medical assistance in dying related recommendations, and, if so, what is the date of that response or its expected release date, and, if not, why not?

Health Canada will respond concerning whether the government prepared a formal written response to the Committee's medical assistance in dying related recommendations.

(b) What interdepartmental or intergovernmental meetings have occurred since March 18, 2025, to consider the medical assistance in dying related recommendations in the Concluding Observations, and, for each meeting, what was the date, which departments or agencies participated, and what decisions, action items, or next steps were recorded?

Employment and Social Development Canada and Health Canada will also respond concerning meetings held since March 18, 2025, to consider the medical assistance in dying related recommendations in the Concluding Observations.

Employment and Social Development Canada organized several interdepartmental meetings since the conclusion of Canada's 2025 review by the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. The Department of Justice has been represented at each of these meetings. Discussions have focused on:

- identifying departmental leads and areas of responsibility for each recommendation;
- clarifying roles and coordination mechanisms across the federal government;
- sharing information on existing mandates, programs, and legislative authorities relevant to the recommendations; and
- discussing next steps for engagement and response development.

As the Department of Justice was not the organizer of these meetings, it is unable to provide additional details concerning these meetings.

(c) Has the Department of Justice conducted or commissioned any legal analysis of the consistency of Track 2 medical assistance in dying with Articles 10 and 15 of the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities since March 18, 2025, and, if so, what are the dates, titles, and findings of each?

Canada takes very seriously its international human rights obligations, including those under the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (the Convention). Canada takes its domestic and international human rights obligations into account in its policy development process and it is Canada's position is that the medical assistance in dying provisions in the *Criminal Code* are consistent with these obligations. Any legal analysis conducted or commissioned by the Department of Justice related to Canada's obligations under the Convention is protected by solicitor-client privilege.

(d) has the Department of Justice conducted or commissioned any section 15 Charter analysis of the Track 2 medical assistance in dying provisions since the passage of Bill C-7 in 2021, and, if so, what are the dates, titles, and findings of each, and, if not, why not?

Pursuant to the *Department of Justice Act* (s. 4.1), the Minister of Justice is required to examine every government bill presented to the House of Commons in order to ascertain whether any of its provisions are inconsistent with the *Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms* ("the Charter"). If the Minister believes that a bill is inconsistent with the Charter or the *Canadian Bill of Rights* this must be reported to the House of Commons.

Like all government legislation, former Bill C-7 was reviewed from the standpoint of Charter consistency. The determination that the legislation is not inconsistent with the Charter was made by the Department of Justice, based on its internal process for developing legal analysis and advice.

Some of the key considerations that informed the review of former Bill C-7 are set out in the Charter Statement for that bill, which the Minister of Justice tabled in Parliament in accordance with his obligation under s. 4.2 of the *Department of Justice Act*.

Any legal analysis of the legislation conducted or commissioned by the Department of Justice – prior to and following its enactment – is protected by solicitor-client privilege.

(e) Has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Department of Justice is responding concerning any products or documents prepared by and addressed to a Department of Justice official discussing the legal implications of the *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* decision.

Any such documents are subject to solicitor-client privilege and, as such, will not be disclosed.

(f) since March 18, 2025, what consultations, meetings, or correspondence has the government had with disability organizations specifically regarding the medical assistance in dying related recommendations in the Concluding Observations, and, for each, what was the date, which organizations participated, and what follow-up action, if any, was taken?

Since March 2025, Justice officials have participated in two meetings, organized by other government departments, in which medical assistance in dying-related recommendations were discussed with disability organizations. As the Department of Justice was not the organizer of these meetings, it is unable to provide additional details concerning these meetings.

The first meeting was an information-sharing session of the Federal-Provincial-Territorial Continuing Committee of Officials on Human Rights with partners and stakeholders, including disability organizations, held on October 24, 2025. This meeting was part of Committee of Officials on Human Rights regular information-sharing meetings with partners and stakeholders on Canada's participation in international human rights treaty reviews and the Universal Periodic Review. The October session, co-hosted by Canadian Heritage and Saskatchewan, included discussion of recently concluded reviews, including Canada's review under the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, during which the recommendations relating to medical assistance in dying were discussed.

The second meeting was a virtual session held in February 2026, as a follow-up to Canada's March 2025 appearance before the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. The purpose of this session was to provide an opportunity to hear directly from the disability community about their feedback and priorities related to the Committee's Concluding Observations and recommendations for Canada. This meeting was organized by Employment and Social Development Canada's Office for Disability Issues, in its capacity as the federal focal point for the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

Canadian Human Rights Commission

(e) Has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Canadian Human Rights Commission did not produce any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Administrative Tribunals Support Service of Canada

(e) Has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v.*

Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Canadian Human Rights Commission did not produce any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

National Defence

Reply by: the Minister of National Defence

Name of Signatory: Sherry Romanado

Reply

National Defence

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

National Defence conducted a search and found no related records.

Canadian Coast Guard

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Canadian Coast Guard conducted a search and found no related records.

Communications Security Establishment Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Communications Security Establishment Canada does not have information to provide in response to this question. The question is outside of the organization's mandate.

Department of National Defence and Canadian Armed Forces Ombudsman

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The National Defence and Canadian Armed Forces Ombudsman has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally as of April 21, 2026. There is no information to provide in response to this question.

Military Grievances External Review Committee

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Military Grievances External Review Committee has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally as of April 21, 2026.

Military Police Complaints Commission

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Military Police Complaints Commission did not produce any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada.

Natural Resources Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Energy and Natural Resources

Name of Signatory: The Honourable Tim Hodgson, P.C., M.P.

Reply

Natural Resources Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, Natural Resources Canada has nothing to report.

Canada Energy Regulator

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Canada Energy Regulator has nothing to report.

Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission has nothing to report.

Northern Pipeline Agency

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Northern Pipeline Agency has nothing to report.

Public Safety Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Public Safety

Name of Signatory: Jacques Ramsay, Parliamentary Secretary

Reply

Public Safety Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, Public Safety Canada has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Canada Border Services Agency

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Canada Border Services Agency has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Canadian Security Intelligence Service

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Canadian Security Intelligence Service has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Correctional Service of Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Correctional Service of Canada has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Parole Board of Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Parole Board of Canada has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Royal Canadian Mounted Police

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Public Services and Procurement Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Government Transformation, Public Works and Procurement and Québec Lieutenant

Name of Signatory: Jenna Sudds

Reply

Public Services and Procurement Canada (including Translation Bureau and Defence Investment Agency)

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v.

Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Public Services and Procurement Canada has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Shared Services Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Shared Services Canada has nothing to report.

Environment and Climate Change Canada

Reply by: the Minister of the Environment, Climate Change and Nature

Name of Signatory: The Honourable Julie Dabrusin

Reply

Environment and Climate Change Canada

Environment and Climate Change Canada has nothing to report related to Q-1094.

Impact Assessment Agency of Canada

The Impact Assessment Agency of Canada has nothing to report related to Q-1094.

Canada Water Agency

The Canada Water Agency has nothing to report related to Q-1094.

Parks Canada

Transport Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Transport and Leader of the Government in the House of Commons

Name of Signatory: Parliamentary Secretary Mike Kelloway

Reply

Transport Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents

A search of Transport Canada's files did not yield any results for internal reports, memoranda, briefing materials, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Canadian Transportation Agency

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents

A search of the Canadian Transportation Agency's files did not yield any results for internal reports, memoranda, briefing materials, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Veterans Affairs Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Veterans Affairs and Associate Minister of National Defence

Name of Signatory: Mr. Sean Casey

Reply

Veterans Affairs Canada

As of April 21, 2026, Veterans Affairs Canada has not produced any internal reports, memorandums, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Veterans Review and Appeal Board

As of April 21, 2026, the Veterans Review and Appeal Board has not produced any internal reports, memorandums, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Prairies Economic Development Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Emergency Management and Community Resilience and Minister responsible for Prairies Economic Development Canada

Name of Signatory: Anthony Housefather

Reply

Prairies Economic Development Canada

As of April 21, 2026, Prairies Economic Development Canada has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and therefore has nothing to report.

Canada Economic Development for Quebec Regions

Reply by: the Minister of Industry and Minister responsible for Canada Economic Development for Quebec Regions

Name of Signatory: The Honourable Mélanie Joly

Reply

Canada Economic Development for Quebec Regions

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Canada Economic Development for Quebec Regions did not produce any internal reports, memorandums, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities as of April 21, 2026.

Federal Economic Development Agency for Northern Ontario

Reply by: the Minister of Jobs and Families and Minister responsible for the Federal Economic Development Agency for Northern Ontario

Name of Signatory: Parliamentary Secretary Annie Koutrakis

Reply

Federal Economic Development Agency for Northern Ontario

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Federal Economic Development Agency for Northern Ontario has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Federal Economic Development Agency for Southern Ontario

Reply by: the Minister of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Innovation and Minister responsible for the Federal Economic Development Agency for Southern Ontario

Name of Signatory: The Honourable Evan Solomon, P.C., M.P.

Reply

Federal Economic Development Agency for Southern Ontario

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Federal Economic Development Agency for Southern Ontario did not produce any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in *Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada* for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Public Prosecution Service of Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada and Minister responsible for the Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency

Name of Signatory: Patricia Lattanzio

Reply

Public Prosecution Service of Canada

With regard to the government's response to the Concluding Observations on Canada adopted by the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities on March 18, 2025, and the recommendation for the Government of Canada to repeal the 2027 expansion of medical assistance in dying to persons whose sole underlying medical condition is a mental illness, and with respect to any equality rights analysis of Track 2 medical assistance in dying provisions under section 15 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, the Public Prosecution Service of Canada has nothing to report with regard to part (e) for the period in question.

Pacific Economic Development Canada

Reply by: the Minister of Housing and Infrastructure and Minister responsible for Pacific Economic Development Canada

Name of Signatory: Jennifer McKelvie, M.P.

Reply

Pacific Economic Development Canada

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

Pacific Economic Development Canada has not produced any internal reports, memoranda, briefing notes, or evaluations regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Privy Council Office

Reply by: the Prime Minister of Canada

Name of Signatory: The Honourable Kody Blois, P.C., M.P.

Reply

Privy Council Office

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Privy Council Office has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Canadian Intergovernmental Conference Secretariat

Reply by: the President of the King's Privy Council for Canada and Minister responsible for Canada-U.S. Trade, Intergovernmental Affairs, Internal Trade and One Canadian Economy

Name of Signatory: Tim Louis

Reply

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or

evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Canadian Intergovernmental Conference Secretariat did not produce any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Public Service Commission of Canada

Reply by: the President of the King's Privy Council for Canada and Minister responsible for Canada-U.S. Trade, Intergovernmental Affairs, Internal Trade and One Canadian Economy

Name of Signatory: Tim Louis

Reply

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Public Service Commission of Canada did not produce any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Transportation Safety Board of Canada

Reply by: the President of the King's Privy Council for Canada and Minister responsible for Canada-U.S. Trade, Intergovernmental Affairs, Internal Trade and One Canadian Economy

Name of Signatory: Tim Louis

Reply

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Transportation Safety Board of Canada did not produce any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with

disabilities nationally.

Major Projects Office

Reply by: the President of the King's Privy Council for Canada and Minister responsible for Canada-U.S. Trade, Intergovernmental Affairs, Internal Trade and One Canadian Economy

Name of Signatory: Tim Louis

Reply

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

The Major Projects Office did not produce any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat

Reply by: the President of the Treasury Board

Name of Signatory: Tom Osborne

Reply

Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.

Canada School of Public Service

(e) has the Department of Justice or any other federal department or agency produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally, and, if so, what are the dates and titles of each of those documents?

As of April 21, 2026, the Canada School of Public Service has not produced any internal report, memorandum, briefing note, or evaluation regarding the implications of the decision in Truchon v. Attorney General of Canada for the equality rights of persons with disabilities nationally.